

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/550,184	HOANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nathan W. Schlientz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1616	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Nathan W. Schlientz. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Kirk M. Miles. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2008**Time:** 2:00**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

8 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan W Schlientz/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called attorney Miles to discuss a supplemental examiner's amendment to change the dependency of claim 8 from being dependent on claim 1, which is cancelled, to being dependent from claim 15. Also, attorney Miles requested that the percentage range for component (g) in claim 15 be changed from "about 0.3% to about 5%" to "about 0.03% to about 5%", which is supported in the specification at paragraph[0020].